ENGLISH ELECTRONIC EDITION

2022/4

REVUE DE DROIT COMPARÉ DU TRAVAIL ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

STUDIES

Daniel Dumont The universal basic income as a source of inspiration for designing the future of social protection systems? A counter-agenda

Maria GIOVANNONE Brexit and social safeguards for EU citizens in the UK: the Agreements' rules and perspectives

Marcel ZERNIKOW Employee representatives in France and Germany and the protection of workers' health: what
lessons can be learned from the public health crisis?

THEMATIC CHAPTER

THE SOCIAL AND SOLIDARITY-BASED ECONOMY (COORDINATED BY ISABELLE DAUGAREILH AND MATHIEU DE POORTER)

Timothée Duverger The invention of the social economy in France and Europe, an unstable institutional compromise

David HIEZ 2011-2021: From one Communication from the European Commission to another

Emanuele Dagnino Labour and social economy in Italy: a composite legal framework

Riccardo Tonelli & Laurentino Javier Dueñas Herrero Difficulties relating to companies incorporated as cooperative societies in Italy and Spain

Mohamed Bachir NIANG The role of the social and solidarity economy in the extension of health insurance in Senegal

Andrew B. Wolf & Maria Figueroa When coops are not on the menu: why immigrant platform delivery workers in New York city rejected a cooperative alternative

COMPARATIVE LABOUR CASE LAW

THE JURISPRUDENTIAL PROTECTION OF THE WHISTLEBLOWER EMPLOYEE (COORDINATED BY ALLISON FIGRENTINO AND ALEXANDRE CHARBONNEAU)

Abigail Osiki Scope of Protection: A retrospective and prospective overview of the Protected Disclosures Act 2000

Adriana OriFici Mind the gaps: the new whistleblower regime and some recent interpretations of statutory whistleblower protections by australian courts

Lauren KIERANS Whistleblowing Case Law in Ireland: Loss, Litigation, and Lessons Learned

Urwana COIQUAUD & Jeanne Pérès The whistleblower employee in Quebec

INTERNATIONAL LEGAL NEWS

AMERICA: USA - **ASIA-OCEANIA**: AUSTRALIA - **EUROPE**: IRELAND / ITALY / POLAND / REPUBLIC OF SERBIA / UNITED KINGDOM - **INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION**: UNITED NATIONS ORGANIZATION

REVUE DE DROIT COMPARÉ DU TRAVAIL ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

Scientific Committee Members

N. Aliprantis (Grèce), G.-G. Balandi (Italie), U. Pecker (Allemagne), U. Carabelli (Italie), J. Carby-Hall (Royaume-N. Allprantis (Grece), G.-G. Balandi (Italie), U. Becker (Allemagne), U. Carabelli (Italie), J. Carby-Hall (Royaume-Uni), A. Cissé Niang (Sénégal), L. Compa (États-Unis), W. Däubler (Allemagne), P. Davies (Royaume-Uni), M. Dispersyn (Belgique), S. Gamonal C. (Chili), A. O. Goldin (Argentine), Z. Góral (Pologne), M. Iwamura (Japon), J.-C. Javillier (France), P. Koncar (Slovénie), M. Nasr-Eddine Koriche (Algérie), A.-M. Laflamme (Canada), R. Le Roux (Afrique du Sud), A. Lyon-Caen (France), A. Monteíro Fernandes (Portugal), A. Montoya Melgar (Espagne), A. Neal (Royaume-Uni), R. Owens (Australie), C. Papadimitriou (Grèce), P.-G. Pougoué (Cameroun), M. Rodríguez-Piñero (Espagne), J.-M. Servais (Belgique), A. Supiot (France), M. Sur (Turquie), G. Trudeau (Canada), C. Vargha (Bureau International du Travail), M. Weiss (Allemagne), A. Zheng (Chine).

Director Editor

Philippe Martin, COMPTRASEC (UMR CNRS 5114), Université de Bordeaux.

Editor in Chief

Isabelle Daugareilh, COMPTRASEC (UMR CNRS 5114), Université de Bordeaux.

Associate Editor

Alexandre Charbonneau, COMPTRASEC (UMR CNRS 5114), Université de Bordeaux.

Editor

Marie-Cécile Clément, COMPTRASEC (UMR CNRS 5114), Université de Bordeaux.

Technical Realization / PAO

Corinne Blazquez, Maison des Sciences de l'Homme d'Aquitaine (MSHA).

Editorial Committee Members

Pablo Arellano Ortiz (Organisation Internationale du Travail - Université Pontifica de Valparaiso PUCV - Chili), Jérôme Porta (COMPTRASEC - Université de Bordeaux - France), Eri Kasagi (CNRS - Université de Bordeaux - France), Risa L. Lieberwitz (Université de Cornell - États-Unis), Pascale Lorber (Université de Leicester - Royaume-Uni), Yuki Sekine (Université de Kobé - Japon), Achim Seifert (Université Friedrich Schiller de Iéna -Allemagne) et Ousmane O. Sidibé (Mali).

International Legal News Correspondents

- AFRIQUES: D. Collier-Reed (Afrique du Sud), C. Boukli-Hacène et Z. Yacoub (Algérie), B. Millefort Quenum et G. Makoudote (Bénin), E. Tapsoba et H. Traoré (Burkina-Faso), V. Yenpelda (Cameroun), U. Seri (Côte d'Ivoire), C. Nyngone Mayaza (Gabon), S. Ondze (République du Congo Congo Brazzaville), M. B. Niang et M. Gaye (Sénégal), N. Mzid et K. Baklouti (Tunisie).

 AMÉRIQUES: D. Ledesma Iturbide et J. P. Mugnolo (Argentine), A. V. Moreira Gomes, S. Machado et J. Sarmento Barra (Brésil), R.-C. Drouin, L. Lamarche et G. Trudeau (Canada), A. Ahumada Salvoest et S. Gamonal C. (Chili), K. Hartmann Cortes et V. Tobon Perilla (Colombie), R. L. Lieberwitz et R. Garcia (États-Unis), G. Mendizábal Bermúdez et E. López Pérez (Mexique), M. K. Garcia Landaburu et G. Boza Pró (Pérou), H. Fernández Brignoni et H. Barretto Ghione (Uruguay).

 ASIE-OCÉANIE: D. Tracey (Australie), A. Zheng (Chine), J. Park et I. Dahea Lee (Corée du Sud), L. Lurie et E. Edo (Israël), S. Dake, M. Iwamura, E. Kasagi, H. Nagano, Y. Sekine et Y. Shibata (Japon).

 EUROPE: U. Becker et J. Brockmann (Allemagne), V. De Greef (Belgique), A. Mileva et Y. Genova (Bulgarie), C. Jacqueson (Danemark), F. Fernández Prol (Espagne), E. Serebryakova et A. Alexandrova (Fédération de Russie), P. Fleury, M. Labarthe, P. Vanpeene et M. Ribeyrol-Subrenat (France), C. Papadimitriou et A. Stergiou (Grèce), Z. Petrovics (Hongrie), C. Murphy et L. Ryan (Irlande), A. Mattei et S. Nadalet (Italie), B. Bubilaityte Martisiene et G. Tamašauskaitė (Lituanie), N. Gundt et S. Montebovi (Pays-Bas), A. Musiała (Pologne), T. Coelho Moreira et A. Monteiro Fernandes (Portugal), M. Stefko (République Tchèque), F. Rosioru (Roumanie), J. Carby-Hall et P. Lorber (Royaume-Uni), F. Bojić et L. Kovačević (Serbie), S. Bagari et B. Kresal (Slovénie), P. Ramsjö (Suède), J.-P. Dunand et A.-S. Dupont (Suisse), K. Doğan Yenisey et M. Sur (Turquie).



REVIEW SUPPORTED BY THE INSTITUTE OF HUMAN AND SOCIAL SCIENCES OF THE CNRS

International Association of Labour Law Journals - IALLJ

The Comparative Law Review of Labour and Social Security [Revue de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale] is member of the «International Association of Labour Law Journals», the exchange network of publications, ideas, legal and economic developments.

The other members of the association are:

Análisis Laboral (Peru)

Arbeit und Recht (Germany)

Australian Journal of Labor Law (Australia)

Bulletin on Comparative Labour Relations (Belgium)

Canadian Labour and Employment Law Journal (Canada)

Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal (United States)

Derecho de las Relaciones Laborales (Spain)

Diritto delle Relazioni Industriali (Italy)

Diritti lavori mercati (Italy)

E-journal of International and Comparative Labour Studies (Italy)

Employees & Employers - Labour Law and Social Security Review: Delavci in delodajalci (Slovenia)

Europäische Zeitschrift für Arbeitsrecht: EuZA (Germany)

European Labour Law Journal (Belgium)

Giornale di Diritto del lavoro e relazioni industriali (Italy)

Industrial Law Journal (United Kingdom)

Industrial Law Journal (South Africa)

International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations (The Netherlands)

International Labour Review (OIT)

Japan Labor Review (Japan)

Labour and Social Law (Belarus)

Labour Society and Law (Israel)

La Rivista Giuridica del Lavoro e della Previdenza Sociale - RGL (Italy)

Lavoro e Diritto (Italy)

Pécs Labor Law Review (Hungary)

Revista de Derecho Social (Spain)

Revue de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale (France)

Revue de Droit du Travail (France)

Rivista giuridica del lavoro e della sicurezza sociale (Italy)

Russian Yearbook of Labour Law (Russia)

Temas Laborales (Spain)

Zeitschrift für ausländisches und internationales Arbeits - und Sozialrecht (Germany)

2022/4 CONTENTS

STUDIES

p. 6 Daniel Dumont

The universal basic income as a source of inspiration for designing the future of social protection systems? A counter-agenda

p. 22 Maria Giovannone

Brexit and social safeguards for EU citizens in the UK: the Agreements' rules and perspectives

p. 34 Marcel Zernikow

Employee representatives in France and Germany and the protection of workers' health: what lessons can be learned from the public health crisis?

THEMATIC CHAPTER

THE SOCIAL AND SOLIDARITY-BASED ECONOMY

COORDINATED BY ISABELLE DAUGAREILH AND MATHIEU DE POORTER

p. 52 Timothée Duverger

The invention of the social economy in France and Europe, an unstable institutional compromise

p. 66 David Hiez

2011-2021: From one Communication from the European Commission to another

p. 80 Emanuele Dagnino

Labour and social economy in Italy: a composite legal framework

p. 94 Riccardo Tonelli & Laurentino Javier Dueñas Herrero

Difficulties relating to companies incorporated as cooperative societies in Italy and Spain

p. 110 Mohamed Bachir Niang

The role of the social and solidarity economy in the extension of health insurance in Senegal

p. 128 Andrew B. Wolf & Maria Figueroa

When coops are not on the menu: why immigrant platform delivery workers in New York city rejected a cooperative alternative

COMPARATIVE LABOUR CASE LAW

THE JURISPRUDENTIAL PROTECTION OF THE WHISTLEBLOWER EMPLOYEE

COORDINATED BY ALLISON FIORENTINO AND ALEXANDRE CHARBONNEAU

p. 144 Abigail Osiki

Scope of Protection: A retrospective and prospective overview of the Protected Disclosures Act 2000

2022/4 CONTENTS

p. 154 Adriana Orifici

Mind the gaps: the new whistleblower regime and some recent interpretations of statutory whistleblower protections by australian courts

p. 162 Lauren Kierans

Whistleblowing Case Law in Ireland: Loss, Litigation, and Lessons Learned

p. 172 Urwana Coiquaud & Jeanne Pérès

The whistleblower employee in Quebec

INTERNATIONAL LEGAL NEWS

AMERICA

p. 184 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA - Risa L. Lieberwitz, Cornell University, School of Industrial and Labor Relations

ASIA - OCEANIA

p. 190 AUSTRALIA - Dominique Allen, Monash Business School, Monash University

EUROPE

p. 194	IRELAND - Caroline Murphy & Lorraine Ryan, ,Kemmy Business School,
	University of Limerick
p. 198	ITALY - Alberto Mattei, Department of Law, University of Verona
p. 202	POLAND - Mateusz Gajda, Faculty of Management, University of Warsaw
p. 204	REPUBLIC OF SERBIA - Filip Bojic, University of Belgrade
p. 208	REPUBLIC OF SERBIA - Ljubinka Kovačević, University of Belgrade
p. 214	United Kingdom - Jo Carby-Hall, University of Hull
p. 220	United Kingdom - Jo Carby-Hall, University of Hull

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

p. 226 UNITED NATIONS ORGANIZATION - Elena Sychenko, Saint Petersburg State University

INTERNATIONAL LEGAL NEWS

LJUBINKA KOVAČEVIĆ

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Law

LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR TRAINEESHIPS IN THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA

The current regulations on employment and education in the Republic of Serbia do not sufficiently take into account the need to provide assistance to young people in their transition from education to the world of work¹.

Also, there are no close ties between the education policy and employment policy, in terms of gradual development of skills that are crucial for youth employability, although in the last few years, laws have been adopted that regulate the organization of practical classes and internships conducted either at the employers or, as a combined approach, both in high schools and at the employers². Student internships organized by faculties under the auspices of bachelor's and master's degree programs have also been regulated³, as well as internships with employers carried out by the recognized institutions for adult education⁴.

On the other hand, options for gaining work experience, practical knowledge and skills outside of the curriculum have been established by the apprenticeship regulations, which require a special type of employment contract, as well as the vocational training regulations, which do not require entering into employment relationships. In the aforementioned latter cases, internship represents a requirement for certain occupations, i.e. a condition to take the state professional exam.

Finally, certain internship programs are organized by the National Employment Service, for persons who are on the register of unemployed persons and are covered

The position of young people in the Serbian labour market is characterized by a slow transition from the world of education to the world of work, as it takes young people in Serbia four times longer to find their first job out of school, compared to young people in the European Union. This is accompanied by an unemployment rate higher than the employment rate for persons aged 15 to 24 (in 2020, the youth unemployment rate was 26.6%, while the employment rate was 20.8%). There is also a relatively low level of youth activity in the labour market (in 2020, only 28.3% of young people were active). Young people who are not in employment, education or training (NEET) are emerging as a particularly vulnerable group in the labour market. In the year before the outbreak of Covid-19, these individuals accounted for 15.3% of the total youth population, and not changing their status for long periods of time. According to:

J. Zarkovic, D. Aleksic, Ex ante analysis of the need for regulation of traineeships in Serbia, Belgrade, 2021.

² Dual education law (Official Gazette RS, no. 101/17 and 6/20); Law on secondary education (Official Gazette RS, no. 55/13, 101/17, 27/18, 6/20 and 52/21).

³ Law on higher education (*Official Gazette RS*, no. 88/17, 73/18, 27/18, 67/19, 6/20, 11/21 and 67/21); Law on the dual model of studies in higher education (*Official Gazette RS*, no. 66/19).

⁴ Adult education law (Official Gazette RS, no. 55/13, 88/17, 27/18 and 6/20).

by active employment policy measures. In addition to the cited legal instruments, a volunteering contract is often used as a legal basis for internships, although it is thought of as a controversial approach from the point of view of the basic values and goals of volunteering.

Largely because volunteering means performing activities of general interest, for the common good or for the good of others, which is why using volunteering contracts as legal basis for internships represents misuse of volunteering.

Finally, there are open market traineeships in the Republic of Serbia that are not regulated by law and are carried out without direct participation and/or control of the educational institutions and thus without their responsibility for the content of the training or working conditions for the trainees.

The proliferation of the legal basis for recruitment of youth and their high unemployment rate, lower the quality of their employment. This creates two parallel worlds for young workers in the labour market, whereby workers who gain work experience as non registered workers are provided very modest protection or no protection at all, while young people who are lucky enough to establish employment enjoy a full catalogue of rights.

In order to regulate open market traineeships, and meet the need of the trainees for legal security and protection against exploitation, the Ministry of Labour of the Republic of Serbia drafted the Traineeship Law in November 2021⁵. The starting point for the proposed regulations was Council Recommendation (EU) of 10 March 2014 on a Quality Framework for Traineeships⁶.

The scope of application of the future law is very broad, considering that a trainee can be anyone over 15 and less than 30 years of age who has acquired at least primary education, has not gained work experience in the profession for which the traineeship is conducted, isn't an employee and does not draw a pension.

Authors of the Draft Law focused on young workers, as their fragile position is shaped by factors such as lack of experience, practical knowledge and skills that are crucial to finding and maintaining employment, all factors that discourage employers from hiring young people, while also putting them in a vicious circle where they can't get a job due to lack of experience, and can't get experience as it is often an important requirement in getting a job.

The personal scope of the future law shall not cover members of other age groups, in addition to young people, although there is a need to take into account the accelerated dynamics of new occupations, as well as the labour market situation, where the elderly make up the majority of the long term unemployed in the Republic of Serbia.

The Draft Law regulates only open market traineeships, but also applies to traineeships organized by the National Employment Service, as active employment policy measures. Following the definition of European Union law, the Draft Law defines traineeships as « activities for trainees aimed at gaining work experience, including learning and training with the employer, thus giving the trainees the opportunity to, during a limited period of time, gain skills and experience for a certain occupation in

⁵ Draft of Traineeship Law (2021): https://www.minrzs.gov.rs/sites/default/files/2021-12/Nacrt%20zakona%20o%20radnoj%20praksi.doc

⁶ Official Journal C 88, 27 March 2014, p. 1.

order to improve their employability, i.e. create opportunities for employment and self-employment ».

In accordance with the principle of transparency, the Draft confirms the obligation of the employer to announce the traineeship, while the legal basis for the traineeship is provided in the traineeship agreement, which does not create an employment relationship. The agreement is entered into for the period required to achieve the goals of learning and training, but no longer than six months, with the possibility of extending the duration of the agreement if the trainee is justifiably prevented from working as well as if employer's operations are interrupted through no fault of the trainee.

Traineeships should enable employees to gain experience, soft and other skills and income, while enabling the employer to meet potential future associates. In practice, however, deviations from the stated goals can occur, especially with the employers trying to reduce labour costs and avoid labour law, social law and tax law regulations by trying to meet their need for workers by systematically and successively enrolling new trainees.

This may result in the replacement of existing employees with unpaid trainees, as well as in lower pay for existing employees, thus creating unfair competition. Some employers use the subsidized work of the trainees as an instrument to provide access to cheap labour, which is why even young workers who are covered by state assistance programs for youth employment, often have no prospect of continuing to work for the employer after the period of financial support for their wages and social security has ended.

This situation is also facilitated by the idea that it is acceptable to perform unpaid work to gain experience. In order to prevent this, the Draft Law introduced several restrictions for organizing and carrying out traineeships.

In the spirit of the principle of primacy of facts confirmed by the ILO Employment Relationship Recommendation, 2006 (No. 198), the first restriction is reflected in the rule that traineeship cannot be carried out on the basis of a traineeship agreement if there are actual elements of employment in the relationship between the trainee and the employer. The next restriction is that an employer can enter into a traineeship agreement with the same person only once.

Draft Law also limits the number of trainees that employers can engage, depending on employer size (i.e. depending on the total number of their employees). In order to further prevent the use of traineeships to ensure cheap labour, the Draft Law stipulates that a trainee cannot be enlisted to perform a specific job in case of increased workload, nor can he/she be commissioned as a replacement for an employee who is temporarily absent due to illness, injury or maternity, or family duties. Also, employers cannot organize traineeships for jobs in which they fired employees in the previous three months due to technological, economic or organizational changes.

Finally, after expiration of the traineeship agreement, an employer may enter into a new traineeship agreement with another trainee for the same position only upon expiration of the period corresponding to 1/2 of the traineeship period from the previous agreement.

The Draft Law also takes into account that low quality of traineeships is a problem, since many trainees are required only to perform simpler tasks that do not result in intensive learning or acquiring of special skills, effective mentoring and monitoring of their progress.

More precisely, there is a risk that good and meaningful learning content will not be included in the traineeship, which is why the Draft Law defines traineeships as acquiring skills and experience under the mentorship of an employer or a mentor, as a person appointed by the employer to implement the traineeship plan, through direct support and transfer of skills to the trainee. The trainee, therefore, trains in accordance with the traineeship plan made by the employer, which establishes the goals of the traineeship, tasks that will contribute to the achievement of said goals and the process of transferring skills to the trainee.

This solution was necessary, because any performance of tasks may result in the acquisition of new or improvement of existing skills, but traineeship differs from such forms of work, precisely because of the traineeship plan that is designed to achieve results related to improving the employability of trainees.

Low paying and unpaid traineeships, poor working conditions in terms of extensive working hours, exposure to health and safety risks at work, and lack of protection from social risks all represent serious practical problems. Trainees are also affected by the so-called legislative uncertainty, i.e. unclear legal framework for organization and implementation of traineeships, as well as explicit exclusion of trainees from protection legislation or low level of their protection. In this regard, the Draft Law confirmed two basic employer obligations and a number of other obligations.

The first essential obligation of the employer is to supply the tasks needed to gain experience and skills and to supply the necessary equipment. Charging the trainees for the costs of training, transportation and equipment shall be deemed a misdemeanour.

The second essential obligation of the employer is payment of compensation for traineeships, as paid trainees are more motivated to invest significant effort into gaining experience and skills and are able to devote more time to learning and training, as the compensation eases their need for economic security (i.e. they won't have to look for another job or another source of livelihood), while employers who pay trainees use traineeships more as a kind of a test of future associates' skills and abilities, than is the case with employers who don't pay their trainees.⁷

We should also have in mind that paid traineeships are especially important for trainees from small towns, who would not otherwise be able to cover the costs of accommodation and transportation. The same is true for other categories of trainees who, due to their financial situation, cannot afford to do unpaid traineeships for several months.

On the other hand, the fact that the trainees get the opportunity to gain experience skills and contacts with future employers, and increase their chances of finding a job with the same or another employer should be taken into account when establishing the amount for the compensation⁸. The authors of the Draft Law had

⁷ P. McDonald, A. Stewart, D. Oliver, « Challenging the assumptions supporting work experience as a pathway to employment », in A. Stewart, R. Owens, N. O'Higgins, A. Hewitt (eds), Internships, employability and the search for decent work experience, Edward Elgar Publishing/International Labour Office, Cheltenham, Northampton, Geneva, 2021, p. 83.

⁸ J. López López, « Traineeships and systematic discrimination against young workers », in A. Stewart, R. Owens, N. O'Higgins, A. Hewitt (eds), Internships, employability and the search for decent work experience, Edward Elgar Publishing/International Labour Office, Cheltenham, Northampton, Geneva, 2021, p. 324.

these circumstances in mind, as well as the principle of equal pay for equal work, and established that the amount of compensation for a traineeship shall be at least 2/3 of the minimum wage, in accordance with the Labour Law, plus contributions for social insurance.

Among the non-essential obligations of the employer is the pre-contractual duty to provide information to the traineeship candidates, and, after the contract is entered into, the obligation of the contracting parties to inform each other on relevant issues regarding the implementation of the contract.

The employer's obligation to provide information is particularly significant given that the lack of information is one of the main causes of the poor quality of traineeships and the problems that trainees face, much more often than the employees.

Furthermore, the Draft Law on traineeships extends certain aspects of labour law protection to trainees. More precisely, the provisions of the Labour Law which regulate working hours, breaks during working hours, daily and weekly rest periods and paid annual leave shall be applied to trainees, while overtime work, redistribution of working hours and work during non-working holidays shall be prohibited. The provisions of the Labour Law that regulate the protection of the occupational health and safety, special protection of minors, compensation of work-related expenses and tort liability, also apply to the trainees. The instructive norms regulate the protection of trainees from mobbing and discrimination at work, therefore, the provisions of the Law on Prohibition of Discrimination and the Law on Prevention of Mobbing at Work also apply to trainees.

Trainees also have the right to a mentor to help them with learning and training, as well as the right to guidance from a mentor on how to achieve the goals of the traineeship, assuming they're failing to achieve them i.e. failing to develop the skills in accordance with the traineeship plan. The trainees also have the right to have their progress in training, as well as skills and experience they've acquired through the traineeship, objectively evaluated, in accordance with the training plan and goals. Finally, trainees have the right to receive a certificate of the completed traineeship, which can be used as proof of work experience when seeking employment with other employers. Trainees are also covered by mandatory pension and disability insurance, as well as the health insurance, and their primary obligation is to perform the entrusted tasks at the time and place determined by the employer, respecting the employer's organization of work and business.

The Draft Law has confirmed the non-disclosure obligation, as well as the obligation to protect inventions created by the trainees during the traineeship or in connection with the traineeship.

In light of the need to create the conditions for effective participation of employees in decision-making, as well as the need to prevent misuse of traineeships, the Draft Law has reaffirmed the duty of employers to inform and consult the union or employee representatives on traineeship requirements, and upon their request, submit a list of trainees at the beginning of each calendar year, with information on their jobs and training goals.

We believe that this solution is important because of the need to ensure employee participation, especially for the decisions relevant to adapting the work environments

to changes in the labour market and transferring skills among workers of different generations, as well as ensuring worker competence for the company.

We can conclude that the drafting of the Law on traineeships was a small but important step in improving the employability of workers in the Republic of Serbia. It can also be said that the new law will be able to achieve its goals only if the state provides employers with sufficient incentives to engage workers without experience.

In this respect, there is a need for future law on traineeships to be accompanied by the provision of tax and similar incentives, so that the adopted provisions do not remain just a « dead letter ».

⁹ J. Julén Votinius, M. Rönnmar, « Internships and apprenticeships in Sweden, collective bargaining and social partner involvement », in A. Stewart, R. Owens, N. O'Higgins, A. Hewitt (eds), Internships, employability and the search for decent work experience, Edward Elgar Publishing/International Labour Office, Cheltenham, Northampton, Geneva, 2021, p. 145.



Manuscripts submitted for publication in the Comparative Law Review of Labour and Social Security [Revue de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale] should be sent by e-mail or by post before February, the 1st of each year (for the Studies, the Comparative Social Jurisprudence and the International Social Jurisprudence) and before June, the 1st of each year for the Thematic Chapter. About the contributions to the International Legal News, they must be sent before February, the 1st (for the first issue) and before September, the 1st (for the third issue).

The opinions expressed in the articles are the sole responsibility of the authors. When translation is carried out in French, it is under the responsibility of the Chief Editor and members of the Editorial Board.

Every manuscript is submitted, without mentioning the name of the author, to two readers for evaluation and publication notice.

A subsequent publication in another journal would require authorization express of the Direction of the Review.



CONTACT

COMPTRASEC - UMR 5114

Mme Marie-Cécile CLÉMENT

Université de Bordeaux

16, avenue Léon Duguit - CS 50057 - 33608 PESSAC cedex FRANCE

Tél: 33(0)5 56 84 54 74

marie-cecile.clement@u-bordeaux.fr

http://comptrasec.u-bordeaux.fr/revue-de-droit-compare-du-travail-et-de-la-securite-sociale

GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS

Manuscrits

The article must be submitted preferably in French. English or Spanish are also admitted.

Texts should include:

- 40 000 characters including footnotes and spaces for topics «Studies» and «Thematic Chapter» when submitted in French. The limitation is set at 30 000 characters when submitted in English or Spanish;
- 25 000 characters including footnotes and spaces for topics «Comparative Social Jurisprudence» and «International Social Jurisprudence» regardless of the language of submission of the article;
- 15 000 characters footnotes and spaces included for the rubric «International Legal News» when submitted in French. The limitation is set at 12 000 characters when submitted in English or Spanish.

In addition, all manuscripts must be accompanied by the following elements:

- 5 key words (in French and English) to identify the content of the article;
- the institution of attachment, the title, and the postal and electronic address of the author;
- the title of the article.

Manuscripts for the **«Studies»**, **«Thematic Chapter»** and **«International Social Jurisprudence»** should also include:

- a summary, in French and in English (400 characters each);
- two publications to choose from.



Notes and bibliographical references

The annotations and bibliographic references of the works and articles cited must be integrated within the article and placed in footnotes.

Their presentation will be as follows:

- For books: initial of the First Name, Name, Title of the book, place, publisher, «collection», date, p.
- For review articles: Initial of the First Name, Name, «Title of the article», Title of the review, no., date, p.
- For a contribution into collective books: Initial of the First Name, Name, «Title of article», in initial of the First Name, Name (dir.), Title of the book, place, publisher, date, p.

ABREVIATIONS LIST

(PUBLISHERS, JOURNALS, BOOKS)

AuR = Arbeit und Recht (Germany)

AJLL = Australian Journal of Labour Law (Australia)

AJP/PJA = Aktuelle juristische Praxis - Pratique juridique Actuelle (Suisse)

BCLR = Bulletin of Comparative Labour Relations (Belgium)

CLELJ = Canadian Labour & Employment Law Journal (Canada)

CLLPJ = Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal (United States)

DRL = Derecho de las Relaciones Laborales (Spain)

DLM = Diritti Lavori Mercati (Italy)

E&E = Employees & Employers: Labour Law & Social Security Review (Slovenia)

EuZA = Europäische Zeitschrift für Arbeitsrecht (Germany)

ELLJ = European Labour Law Journal (Belgium)

DLRI = Giornale di Diritto del Lavoro e delle Relazioni Industriali (Italy)

ILJ = Industrial Law Journal (UK)

IJCLLIR = Giornale di Diritto del Lavoro e delle Relazioni Industriali (Italy)

ILR = International Labour Review (ILO)

JLR = Japan Labor Review (Japan)

JCP = Juris-Classeur Périodique (France)

LD = Lavoro e Diritto (Italy)

OIT = Revue internationale de travail

PMJK = Pécsi Munkajogi Közlemények (Pecs Labour Law Journal) (Hungary)

RL = Relaciones Laborales (Spain)

RDS = Revista de Derecho Social (Spain)

RDCTSS = Revue de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale (France)

RDT = Revue de Droit du Travail (France)

RGL= Rivista Giuridica del Lavoro e della Previdenza Sociale (Italy)

TL = Temas Laborales (Spain)

ZIAS = Zeitschrift für ausländisches und Internationales Arbeits und Sozialrecht (Germany)

ABONNEMENTS ET TARIFS SUSCRIPCIONES Y PRECIOS

2023 RATES

REVUE DE DROIT COMPARÉ DU TRAVAIL ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

(PRINT) ISSN 2117-4350 (E-JOURNAL) ISSN 2262-9815

PER YEAR

3 PRINT ISSUES PAPERS (FRENCH)
1 ELECTRONIC ISSUE (ENGLISH)

COMPTRASEC UMR 5114

Mme Marie-Cécile Clément

Université de Bordeaux

Avenue Léon Duguit - 33608 PESSAC cedex FRANCE

Tél. 33(0)5 56 84 54 74 Fax 33(0)5 56 84 85 12

Email: revue.comptrasec@u-bordeaux.fr

		Price/Prix/Precio	
Annual Subscription	Print Journal / Revue papier / Revista Impressa (3 numéros en français / 3 issues in french / 3 números en francés)	105€	
Abonnement Annuel Suscripción anual	E-journal / Revue électronique / Revista Electrónica (1 numéro en anglais /1 issue in english / 1 número en inglés)	70€	
	Printed copies & E-journal / Pack Revues papier et électronique / Revistas impresa y electrónica (3 numéros en français & 1 numéro en anglais / 3 issues in french & 1 in english / 3 números en francés & 1 en inglés)	145€	
Unit Price	Print Journal / Revue Papier / Revista Impressa	40 €	
Prix à l'unité	E-Journal / Revue électronique / Revista Electrónica	70€	
Precio unitario	Journal article / Article / Artículo	6€	
Postal charges included / Frais de port compris / Gastos de envio incluidos			
TVA 2,10% France / 1,05% Outre-mer & Corse / 0% UE & hors UE IVA			

MODE DE PAYMENT / MODE DE RÈGLEMENT / FORMA DE PAGO

ONLINE PAYMENT / PAIEMENT EN LIGNE / PAGO EN LINEA

(Credit card - Carte de crédit - Tarjeta de credito) http://comptrasec.u-bordeaux.fr/revue/abonnement

PURCHASE ORDER / BON DE COMMANDE / ORDEN DE COMPRA

to / à / a : revue.comptrasec@u-bordeaux.fr

NB : Online payment is preferred. If you have any difficulty, please contact us at Le paiement en ligne est à privilégier. En cas de difficulté, veuillez nous conctater à El pago en linea se prefiere. Si tiene alguna dificultad, contáctenos a

revue.comptrasec@u-bordeaux.fr



The Comparative Law Review of Labour and Social Security [Revue de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale] has been published by COMPTRASEC, UMR 5114 CNRS of the University of Bordeaux since 1981. It is edited three times a year in order to contribute to the development of analyses and exchanges on labour and social security law around the world. The Comparative Law Review of Labour and Social Security is a member of the International Association of Labour Law Journals (IALLJ), an international network for the exchange of ideas and publications on labour law and social security.

For any correspondence or contribution proposal write to:

Marie-Cécile CLÉMENT

COMPTRASEC - UMR CNRS 5114 - University of Bordeaux 16, avenue Léon Duguit - CS 50057 - 33608 PESSAC cedex - France

E-mail: marie-cécile.clement@u-bordeaux.fr
Phone: +33 (0)5 56 84 54 74 - Fax: +33 (0)5 56 84 85 12
http://comptrasec.u-bordeaux.fr

The opinions expressed in the articles are the sole responsibility of the authors. When translation is carried out in French, it is under the responsibility of the Director Editor and members of the Editorial Board. Every manuscript is submitted, without mentioning the name of the author, to two readers for evaluation and notice before publication.

PREVIOUS ISSUE

2022/3

THEMATIC CHAPTER

THE SOCIAL AND SOLIDARITY ECONOM

COORDINATED BY ISABELLE DAUGAREILH & MATHIEU DE POORTER

WITH THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF:

TIMOTHÉE DUVERGER, DAVID HIEZ, HAGEN HENRŸ, FRANCISCO JAVIER ARRIETA IDIAKEZ, EMANUELE DAGNINO, BARBARA GODLEWSKA-BUJOK AND MAŁGORZATA OŁDAK, GABRIEL AMITSIS & FOTINI MARINI, LEILA ANDRESSA DISSENHA & RODRIGO FORTUNATO GOULART, FERNANDO DELGADO SOARES NETTO, GER J.H. VAN DER SANGEN & MIJKE HOUWERZIJL, MOHAMED BACHIR NIANG, LAURENTINO JAVIER DUEÑAS HERRERO & RICCARDO TONELLI, ANDREW B. WOLF & MARIA FIGUEROA.

INTERNATIONAL LEGAL NEWS

Africa: Algeria / Senegal / Tunisia

AMERICAS: ARGENTINA / BRAZIL / CANADA / CHILE / MEXICO / USA

Asia-Oceania: Australia / China / Japan

Europe: Bulgaria / France / Italy / Poland / Russian Federation / Spain / Turkey / Republic of Serbia / United Kingdom

FORTHCOMING

2023/1

STUDIES

INTERNATIONAL LEGAL NEWS

REVUE

DE DROIT COMPARÉ

DU TRAVAIL

ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

REVUE DE DROIT COMPARÉ DU TRAVAIL ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

- 4 numéros par an
- ~3 éditions papier (en français)
- ~1 édition électronique (en anglais)

2022/1

Etudes

Actualités Juridiques Internationales

2022/2

Jurisprudence Sociale Comparée Jurisprudence Sociale Internationale Littérature de droit social comparé Chronique bibliographique

2022/3

Dossier thématique Actualités Juridiques Internationales

2022/4

Studies

Thematic Chapter Comparative Labour Case Law International Legal News

Pour plus d'informations

http://comptrasec.u-bordeaux.fr/revue-de-droit-compare-du-travail-et-de-la-securite-sociale

Contact

revue.comptrasec@u-bordeaux.fr

COMPTRASEC

Centre de droit comparé du travail et de la sécurité sociale





70 euros ISSN 2117-4350