

2021/4

REVUE DE DROIT COMPARÉ DU TRAVAIL ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

STUDIES

- P. UPSON** How does brain-computer interface technology present challenges for labour law in New Zealand?
I. ZOPPOLI How to overcome the paradoxes of redundancy in France and Italy?
H. BARRETTO GHIONE The emergence of the concept of "due diligence" in labour law and the forms of its legal integration
M. MOHAN, M. BABU, S. PELLISSERY & K. BHARADKAR The pensions system in Italy: a continuous reform
L. COMPA The double standard at work: European Corporate investment and workers' rights in the American South

THEMATIC CHAPTER

NATIONAL LABOUR LAW AND SOCIAL SECURITY SYSTEMS THROUGH THE LENS OF THE COVID HEALTH CRISIS. ADAPTATIONS OR FUNDAMENTAL CHANGES? (Coordinated by Loïc LEROUGE)

- P. Andersson & T. A. Novitz** Risk Assessment and Covid-19: Systems at work (or not) in England and Sweden
C. Marzo A Franco-British comparison of attempts at social protection for platform workers in the light of the pandemic: towards a new balance between public and private actors?
G. Golding Unfair dismissal and the coronavirus pandemic: Creative responses from Australia's Fair Work Commission
E. Ales Social protection in Italy during the pandemic: A comprehensive and adaptive approach in the sign of continuity
C. Murphy & L. Ryan National labour law and social security systems through the lens of the Covid health crisis. Adaptations or fundamental changes?
L. Lamarche Unemployment in the age of Covid: Will the canadian unemployment insurance system survive the pandemic?

COMPARATIVE LABOUR CASE LAW

JUDICIAL CREATIVITY PUT TO THE TEST IN THE FACE OF NEW HEALTH PROBLEMS AT WORK (Coordinated by Allison FIORENTINO)

- A. SALA** The creativity of the judge faced with new health-at-work problems: the Japanese case of karōshi and karōjisatsu
A. FIORENTINO English case law to the test of mental health at work

INTERNATIONAL LABOUR CASE LAW

- M. DOHERTY** The « worker », EU Law, and collective bargaining
M. D'APONTE New technologies and respect for workers' privacy in the case law of the ECHR

COMPARATIVE LABOUR LAW LITERATURE

- C. Carta & G.-F. Moraru** Labour law beyond national borders: major debates in 2018-2019-2020

INTERNATIONAL LEGAL NEWS

AMERICA: USA - **ASIA-OCEANIA:** AUSTRALIA - **EUROPE:** REPUBLIC OF SERBIA / UNITED KINGDOM - **INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION:** UNITED NATIONS ORGANIZATION

Scientific Committee Members

N. Aliprantis (Grèce), G.-G. Balandi (Italie), U. Becker (Allemagne), U. Carabelli (Italie), J. Carby Hall (Royaume-Uni), A. Cissé Niang (Sénégal), L. Compa (États-Unis), W. Däubler (Allemagne), P. Davies (Royaume-Uni), M. Dispersyn (Belgique), S. Gamonal C. (Chili), A. O. Goldin (Argentine), Z. Góral (Pologne), M. Iwamura (Japon), J.-C. Javillier (France), P. Koncar (Slovénie), M. Nasr-Eddine Koriche (Algérie), A.-M. Laflamme (Canada), R. Le Roux (Afrique du Sud), A. Lyon-Caen (France), A. Monteiro Fernandes (Portugal), A. Montoya Melgar (Espagne), A. Neal (Royaume-Uni), R. Owens (Australie), C. Papadimitriou (Grèce), P.-G. Pougoué (Cameroun), M. Rodríguez-Piñero (Espagne), J.-M. Servais (Belgique), A. Supiot (France), M. Sur (Turquie), G. Trudeau (Canada), C. Vargha (Bureau International du Travail), M. Weiss (Allemagne), A. Zheng (Chine).

Director Editor

Philippe Martin, COMPTRASEC (UMR CNRS 5114), Université de Bordeaux.

Editor in Chief

Isabelle Daugareilh, COMPTRASEC (UMR CNRS 5114), Université de Bordeaux.

Associate Editor

Alexandre Charbonneau, COMPTRASEC (UMR CNRS 5114), Université de Bordeaux.

Editor

Marie-Cécile Clément, COMPTRASEC (UMR CNRS 5114), Université de Bordeaux.

Technical Realization / PAO

Corinne Blazquez, Maison des Sciences de l'Homme d'Aquitaine (MSHA).

Editorial Committee Members

Pablo Arellano Ortiz (Organisation Internationale du Travail - Université Pontifica de Valparaiso PUCV - Chili), Jérôme Porta (COMPTRASEC - Université de Bordeaux - France), Eri Kasagi (CNRS - Université de Bordeaux - France), Risa L. Lieberwitz (Université de Cornell - États-Unis), Pascale Lorber (Université de Leicester - Royaume-Uni), Yuki Sekine (Université de Kobé - Japon), Achim Seifert (Université Friedrich Schiller de Iéna - Allemagne) et Ousmane O. Sidibé (Mali).

International Legal News Correspondents

■ **AFRIQUES** : A. Govindjee et K. Malherbe (Afrique du Sud), C. Boukli-Hacène et Z. Yacoub (Algérie), B. Millefort Quenum (Bénin), P. Kiemde et H. Traoré (Burkina-Faso), P.-E. Kenfack (Cameroun), S. Yao Dje et D. Koffi Kouakou (Côte d'Ivoire), P. Kalay (République Démocratique du Congo - Congo Kinshasa), S. Ondze (République du Congo - Congo Brazzaville), I. Yankhoba Ndiaye et M. Gaye (Sénégal), N. Mzid et A. Mouelhi (Tunisie)

■ **AMÉRIQUES** : A. O. Goldin, D. Ledesma Iturbide et J. P. Mugnolo (Argentine), A. V. Moreira Gomes, S. Machado et J. Sarmiento Barra (Brésil), R.-C. Drouin, A.-M. Laflamme, L. Lamarche et G. Trudeau (Canada), P. Arellano Ortiz et S. Gamonal C. (Chili), C. Castellanos Avendano, A. N. Guerrero et V. Tobon Perilla (Colombie), R. L. Lieberwitz (États-Unis), P. Kurczyn Villalobos (Mexique), L. Gamarra Vilchez et M. K. Garcia Landaburu (Pérou), M. Ermida Fernández et H. Barretto Ghione (Uruguay).

■ **ASIE-OCÉANIE** : D. Allen, S. McCrystal et T. Walsh (Australie), A. Zheng (Chine), J. Park (Corée du Sud), G. Davidov (Israël), S. Dake, M. Iwamura, E. Kasagi, H. Nagano, Y. Sekine et Y. Shibata (Japon), S. Taweejamsup (Thaïlande) et Tuân Kiệt Nguyễn (Vietnam).

■ **EUROPE** : A. Seifert (Allemagne), A. Csuk et G. Löschnigg (Autriche), A. Lamine et V. De Greef (Belgique), A. Filcheva et Y. Genova (Bulgarie), C. Jacqueson (Danemark), I. Vukorepa (Croatie), J. L. Gil y Gil (Espagne), E. Serebryakova et A. Alexandrova (Fédération de Russie), M. Badel, J.-P. Laborde et M. Ribeyrol-Subrenat (France), C. Papadimitriou et A. Stergiou (Grèce), T. Gyulavári et K. Rúzs Molnár (Hongrie), M. O'Sullivan (Irlande), A. Mattei et S. Nadalet (Italie), B. Bubilaityte Martisiene et G. Tamašauskaitė (Lituanie), S. Burri et N. Gundt (Pays-Bas), M. Gajda, A. Musiała et M. Pliszkiwicz (Pologne), T. Coelho Moreira et A. Monteiro Fernandes (Portugal), M. Stefko et V. Štangová (République Tchèque), F. Rosioru (Roumanie), J. Carby-Hall et P. Lorber (Royaume-Uni), F. Bojić et L. Kovačević (Serbie), P. Koncar et B. Kresal (Slovénie), J. Julén Votinius (Suède) K. Pärli et A. Meier (Suisse), K. Doğan Yenisey et M. Sur (Turquie).

REVUE

ENGLISH ELECTRONIC EDITION

2021/4

DE DROIT COMPARÉ

DU TRAVAIL

ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

REVIEW SUPPORTED BY THE INSTITUTE OF HUMAN AND SOCIAL SCIENCES OF THE CNRS

International Association of Labour Law Journals - IALLJ

The Comparative Law Review of Labour and Social Security [Revue de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale] is member of the «International Association of Labour Law Journals», the exchange network of publications, ideas, legal and economic developments.

The other members of the association are:

Análisis Laboral (Peru)
Arbeit und Recht (Germany)
Australian Journal of Labor Law (Australia)
Bulletin on Comparative Labour Relations (Belgium)
Canadian Labour and Employment Law Journal (Canada)
Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal (United States)
Derecho de las Relaciones Laborales (Spain)
Diritto delle Relazioni Industriali (Italy)
Diritti lavori mercati (Italy)
E-journal of International and Comparative Labour Studies (Italy)
Employees & Employers - Labour Law and Social Security Review: Delavci in delodajalci (Slovenia)
Europäische Zeitschrift für Arbeitsrecht : EuZA (Germany)
European Labour Law Journal (Belgium)
Giornale di Diritto del lavoro e relazioni industriali (Italy)
Industrial Law Journal (United Kingdom)
Industrial Law Journal (South Africa)
International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations (The Netherlands)
International Labour Review (OIT)
Japan Labor Review (Japan)
Labour and Social Law (Belarus)
Labour Society and Law (Israel)
La Rivista Giuridica del Lavoro e della Previdenza Sociale - RGL (Italy)
Lavoro e Diritto (Italy)
Pécs Labor Law Review (Hungary)
Revista de Derecho Social (Spain)
Revue de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale (France)
Revue de Droit du Travail (France)
Rivista giuridica del lavoro e della sicurezza sociale (Italy)
Russian Yearbook of Labour Law (Russia)
Temas Laborales (Spain)
Zeitschrift für ausländisches und internationales Arbeits- und Sozialrecht (Germany)

STUDIES

- p. 6 Peter Upson**
How does brain-computer interface technology present challenges for labour law in New Zealand?
- p. 16 Irene Zoppoli**
How to overcome the paradoxes around worker dismissal in France and Italy?
- p. 28 Hugo Barretto Ghione**
Emergence of the notion of «due diligence» in labour law and how it is integrated into the legal system
- p. 38 Mani Mohan, Mathew Babu, Sony Pellissery and Kavya Bharadkar**
Ushering thin welfare regimes at the cost of thick labour jurisprudence: A tale of new labour codes in India
- p. 50 Lance Compa**
The double standard at work: European corporate investment and workers' rights in the American south

THEMATIC CHAPTER

NATIONAL LABOUR LAW AND SOCIAL SECURITY SYSTEMS THROUGH THE LENS OF THE COVID HEALTH CRISIS. ADAPTATIONS OR FUNDAMENTAL CHANGES?

COORDINATED BY LOÏC LEROUGE

- p. 66 Peter Andersson and Tonia A. Novitz**
Risk assessment and COVID-19: Systems at work (or not) in England and Sweden
- p. 80 Claire Marzo**
Franco-British comparison of attempts to provide social protection for platform workers at the time of the pandemic: towards a new balance between public and private actors?
- p. 100 Gabrielle Golding**
Unfair dismissal and the Coronavirus pandemic: Creative responses from Australia's Fair Work Commission
- p. 116 Edoardo Ales**
Social protection in Italy during the pandemic: A comprehensive and adaptive approach in the sign of continuity
- p. 132 Caroline Murphy and Lorraine Ryan**
National labour law and social security systems through the lens of the COVID health crisis. Adaptations or fundamental changes?
- p. 144 Lucie Lamarche**
Unemployment in the age of COVID: Will the Canadian unemployment insurance system survive the pandemic?

2021/4 CONTENTS

COMPARATIVE LABOUR CASE LAW

JUDICIAL CREATIVITY PUT TO THE TEST IN THE FACE OF NEW HEALTH PROBLEMS AT WORK

COORDINATED BY ALLISON FIORENTINO

- p. 160 Adrienne Sala**
The creativity of the judge faced with new health-at-work problems: the Japanese case of karôshi and karôjisatsu
- p. 170 Allison Fiorentino**
English case law and the challenge of mental health at work

INTERNATIONAL LABOUR CASE LAW

- p. 182 Michael Doherty**
The « Worker », EU Law, and collective bargaining
- p. 192 Marcello D'Aponte**
New technologies and respect for the worker's privacy in ECHR case law

COMPARATIVE LABOUR LAW LITERATURE

- p. 204 Cinzia Carta and Gratiela-Florentina Moraru**
Labour law beyond national borders: major debates in 2018-2019-2020

INTERNATIONAL LEGAL NEWS

AMERICA

- p. 230 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** - Risa L. Lieberwitz, Cornell University, School of Industrial and Labor Relations

ASIA - OCEANIA

- p. 234 AUSTRALIA** - Shae McCrystal, Faculty of Law, University of Sydney

EUROPE

- p. 240 REPUBLIC OF SERBIA** - Filip Bojic, University of Belgrade
- p. 246 REPUBLIC OF SERBIA** - Ljubinka Kovačević, University of Belgrade
- p. 250 UNITED KINGDOM** - Jo Carby-Hall, University of Hull
- p. 256 UNITED KINGDOM** - Jo Carby-Hall, University of Hull

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

- p. 262 UNITED NATIONS ORGANIZATION** - Elena Sychenko, Saint Petersburg State University

STUDIES





**INTERNATIONAL
LEGAL NEWS**



RISA L. LIEBERWITZ

CORNELL UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF INDUSTRIAL AND LABOR RELATIONS

DEVELOPMENTS IN LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT LAW IN THE UNITED STATES

I - United States Supreme Court

The appointments of three Supreme Court justices under the Trump Administration has moved the Court far to the political right. This was reflected in the Court's 6-3 decision in *Cedar Point Nursery v. Hassid*¹, striking down a California state regulation that authorized union organizers to enter agricultural employers' private property for up to three hours a day during non-working time, 120 days per year, to talk with the employees about working conditions and unionization. The Court held that the state law violated the « takings clause » of the Fifth Amendment of the US Constitution, which allows the government to appropriate private property for a public use if the government pays just compensation to the private owner. The majority concluded that the state law's grant of access to the union organizers gave them a « right to invade » the agricultural employers' property, which constituted an uncompensated « physical taking » by the state government.

The three dissenting justices criticized the implausible use of the « takings clause » in this decision. The state regulation did not appropriate private property. Rather, it was a governmental regulation of the employer's « right to exclude » union organizers from access to its property for the purpose of talking with employees about their rights to unionize.

Most private sector employees are covered by the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA)², the federal law that establishes their rights to unionize, collectively bargain, and engage in other concerted activity to improve their working conditions. California could adopt the state regulation considered in *Cedar Point Nursery* because agricultural employees are excluded from coverage under the NLRA. In two earlier decisions, the US Supreme Court interpreted the NLRA to allow private employers to bar access to their property by union organizers, unless the organizers had no alternative means to reach the employees away from the workplace, or unless the employer discriminated against unions in its property access policies³.

1 141 S.Ct. 2063 (2021).

2 29 U.S.C. Sec. 151, et seq.

3 *Lechmere, Inc. v. NLRB*, 502 U. S. 527 (1992); *NLRB v. Babcock & Wilcox Co.*, 351 U. S. 105 (1956).

This judicial interpretation of the NLRA has been criticized for the imbalance of power it gives to employers to create obstacles to employees' rights to unionize. Yet, the conservative Court in *Cedar Point Nursery* chose not to apply even this restrictive approach to interpret the scope of the California state regulation, opting instead to strike it down completely under a strained interpretation of the Fifth Amendment's takings clause.

In a decision concerning student athletes, the US Supreme Court held that the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) and its member institutions violated federal anti-trust law by restricting education-related compensation, such as graduate student scholarships, that colleges and universities may offer the student-athletes who play for their teams⁴. However, the Supreme Court did not address the NCAA's rules limiting undergraduate athletic scholarships and other compensation related to athletic performance. Given the commercial benefit that colleges and universities receive from inter-collegiate sports competition, the NCAA's assertions that their rules protect amateurism in college sports have worn thin⁵.

It seems likely that pressure will build on NCAA to change its rules to allow colleges and universities to offer other forms of compensation to student athletes. The labor-related implications of such changes include the potential for student athletes to assert their rights to unionize as employees under the NLRA or state public employment statutes⁶.

II - National Labor Relations Board

As of August 28, 2021, three of the five-members on the National Labor Relations Board are Democratic appointments. The two new members, appointed by President Biden and confirmed by the Senate, are former labor union attorneys. Additionally, Biden appointed and the Senate confirmed a new General Counsel of the NLRB, who has issued a memorandum identifying issues that she is prioritizing in cases that may come before the current Board⁷. These issues include recent decisions by the Trump-era Board restricting employee rights under the NLRA and overruling earlier Board precedents. Among the recent Board decisions that the General Counsel seeks to change are two cases restricting the scope of employees covered by the NLRA.

4 *NCAA v. Alston*, 141 S.Ct. 2141 (2021).

5 See A. Liptak and A. Binder, « Supreme Court Backs Payments to Student Athletes in N.C.A.A. Case », *New York Times*, Aug. 6, 2021.

6 D. Wolken, « Opinion : Allowing College Athletes to Unionize Could be the Answer to the NCAA's Problems », *USA Today*, May 27, 2021: <https://www.usatoday.com/story/sports/columnist/dan-wolken/2021/05/27/allowing-college-athletes-unionize-could-help-solve-ncaa-problems/7476234002/>

7 J. A. Abruzzo, General Counsel, *Mandatory Submissions to Advice*, Memorandum GC 21-04 (Aug. 12, 2021): <https://www.nlr.gov/es/guidance/memos-research/general-counsel-memos>

In *Supershuttle DFW, Inc.*⁸, the Board made it easier for employers to classify workers as independent contractors excluded from the definition of employees under the NLRA.

In *Bethany College*⁹, the Board adopted a legal test that will exempt virtually all religiously-affiliated colleges or universities from jurisdiction of the NLRB. As a consequence of this decision, even faculty teaching secular subjects in religiously-affiliated colleges or universities will have no rights to unionize under the NLRA.

Other precedents of interest to the General Counsel include decisions from the Trump-era Board restricting employees' rights to communicate with each other and with unions about workplace issues, including their rights to organize.

In *Caesars Entertainment d/b/a/ Rio All-Suites Hotel and Casino*¹⁰, the Board held that employers may prohibit employees from using employer-owned information-technology, including employer-owned email, for non-work-related communications.

In *The Boeing Co.*¹¹, the Board adopted a standard that expands the power of employers to adopt rules, policies and employee handbook provisions that limit the ability of employees to express themselves at work or on social networks, including employer rules requiring them to respect « basic standards of civility ». These issues relate, as well, to defining the scope of protected concerted activity, which was narrowed by Trump-era Board decisions¹².

Other issues that the General Counsel may ask the current Board to revisit concern Trump-era Board decisions placing excessive weight on employer private property interests to the detriment of employee rights under the NLRA.

These cases include *UPMC*¹³, where the Board held that an employer may bar union representatives from access to public areas of the workplace, such as a hospital cafeteria where the organizers might have lunch with hospital employees and discuss unionization.

In *Bexar County Performing Arts Center Foundation*¹⁴, the Board held that a private business owner may refuse access to its private property by off-duty employees of a contractor business if the contractor's employees do not work regularly and exclusively on the property and if those off-duty employees have a reasonable alternative means to communicate their message.

8 367 NLRB no. 75 (2019).

9 369 NLRB no. 98 (2020).

10 368 NLRB no. 143 (2019).

11 365 NLRB no. 154 (2017).

12 See, e.g., *Altstate Maintenance, LLC*, 367 NLRB no. 68 (2019).

13 368 NLRB 2 (2019).

14 2019 NLRB LEXIS 468 (2019).

III - COVID-related Issues at the Workplace

One pandemic-related workplace legal issue receiving attention is whether employers may require employees to be vaccinated for Covid-19. Given the way in which vaccinations have become politicized in the US, this is an issue of great interest. The federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) has issued a guidance stating that employers may require employees to be vaccinated, although employers must also comply with anti-discrimination laws that obligate employers to provide accommodations to employees who are not vaccinated due to a disability or based on a « sincerely held religious belief »¹⁵.

In such cases, wearing a mask or working remotely are examples of possible accommodations. Rather than requiring vaccinations, some employers have adopted incentive programs, such as paid time off to get a vaccination or a bonus for being vaccinated, although such programs must also comply with federal anti-discrimination law¹⁶.

In a unionized workplace, employers have a duty to bargain with the union over an employer proposal to make vaccinations a condition of employment, as well as a duty to bargain over the effects of such a requirement¹⁷.

15 EEOC, *What You Should Know About COVID-19 and the ADA, the Rehabilitation Act, and Other EEO Laws*, <https://www.eeoc.gov/wysk/what-you-should-know-about-covid-19-and-ada-rehabilitation-act-and-other-eeo-laws>

16 *Ibid.*

17 P. Brown and A. Volberding, « Labor Law, Union Implications for Employer-Mandated Covid Vaccines, *Bloomberg Law News*, Jan. 21, 2021.

REVUE

DE DROIT COMPARÉ
DU TRAVAIL
ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

Manuscripts submitted for publication in the **Comparative Law Review of Labour and Social Security** [Revue de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale] should be sent by e-mail or by post before **February, the 1st** of each year (for the Studies, the Comparative Social Jurisprudence and the International Social Jurisprudence) and before **June, the 1st** of each year for the Thematic Chapter. About the contributions to the International Legal News, they must be sent before **February, the 1st** (for the first issue) and before **September, the 1st** (for the third issue).

The opinions expressed in the articles are the sole responsibility of the authors. When translation is carried out in French, it is under the responsibility of the Chief Editor and members of the Editorial Board.

Every manuscript is submitted, without mentioning the name of the author, to two readers for evaluation and publication notice.

A subsequent publication in another journal would require authorization express of the Direction of the Review.



COMPTRASEC - UMR 5114

Mme Marie-Cécile CLÉMENT

Université de Bordeaux

16, avenue Léon Duguit - CS 50057 - F 33608 PESSAC cedex

Tél : 33(0)5 56 84 54 74 - Fax : 33(0)5 56 84 85 12

marie-cecile.clement@u-bordeaux.fr

<http://comptrasec.u-bordeaux.fr/revue-de-droit-compare-du-travail-et-de-la-securite-sociale>

GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS

Manuscripts

The article must be submitted preferably in French. English or Spanish are also admitted.

Texts should include:

- **40 000 characters** - including footnotes and spaces - for topics «**Studies**» and «**Thematic Chapter**» when submitted in French. The limitation is set at **30 000 characters** when submitted in English or Spanish;
- **25 000 characters** - including footnotes and spaces - for topics «**Comparative Social Jurisprudence**» and «**International Social Jurisprudence**» regardless of the language of submission of the article;
- **15 000 characters** - footnotes and spaces included - for the rubric «**International Legal News**» when submitted in French. The limitation is set at **12 000 characters** when submitted in English or Spanish.

In addition, all manuscripts must be accompanied by the following elements:

- 5 key words (in French and English) to identify the content of the article;
- the institution of attachment, the title, and the postal and electronic address of the author;
- the title of the article.

Manuscripts for the «**Studies**», «**Thematic Chapter**» and «**International Social Jurisprudence**» should also include:

- a summary, in French and in English (**400 characters** each);
- two publications to choose from.



Notes and bibliographical references

The annotations and bibliographic references of the works and articles cited must be integrated within the article and placed in footnotes.

Their presentation will be as follows:

- For books: initial of the First Name, Name, Title of the book, place, publisher, «collection», date, p.
- For review articles: Initial of the First Name, Name, «Title of the article», Title of the review, no., date, p.
- For a contribution into collective books: Initial of the First Name, Name, «Title of article», in initial of the First Name, Name (dir.), Title of the book, place, publisher, date, p.

IALLJ CALL FOR PAPERS ~ 2022 MARCO BIAGI AWARD

To stimulate scholarly activity and broaden academic interest in comparative labour and employment law, the **International Association of Labour Law Journals** announces a **Call for Papers** for the **2022 Marco Biagi Award**. The award is named in honor of the late Marco Biagi, a distinguished labour lawyer, victim of terrorism because of his commitment to civil rights, and one of the founders of the Association. The Call is addressed to doctoral students, advanced professional students, and academic researchers in the early stage of their careers (that is, with no more than three years of post-doctoral or teaching experience).



1. The Call requests papers concerning comparative and/or international labour or employment law and employment relations, broadly conceived. Research of an empirical nature within the Call's purview is most welcome.
2. Submissions will be evaluated by an academic jury to be appointed by the Association. Submitted papers should include an abstract.
3. The paper chosen as the winner of the award will be assured publication in a member journal, subject to any revisions requested by that journal.
4. Papers may be submitted preferably in English, but papers in French, or Spanish will also be accepted. The maximum length is 12,500 words, including footnotes and appendices. Longer papers will not be considered.
5. The author or authors of the paper chosen as the winner of the award will be invited to present the work at the **Association's 2021** meeting which is to be announced soon on the website of the Association. Efforts are being undertaken to provide an honorarium and travel expenses for the presentation of the paper. Until that effort bears fruit, however, the Association hopes that home institutional funds would be available to support the researcher's presentation.
6. The deadline for submission is **1 March 2021** (final date of submission). Submissions [and a short bio of the author] should be sent electronically in Microsoft Word both to Lavoro e diritto at lavoroediritto@unife.it and to Frank Hendrickx, the President of the Association, at frank.hendrickx@kuleuven.be and his secretariat: iar@kuleuven.be.

Prior Recipients of the Marco Biagi Award

2020 Harry Stylogiannis (KU Leuven, Belgium), Platform work and the human rights to freedom of association and collective bargaining.

2019 Giovanni Gaudio (Bocconi University, Milan, Italy), «Dapting labour law to complex organisational settings of the enterprise. Why re-thinking the concept of employer is not enough».

2018 Matteo Avogaro (University of Milan, Italy), «New perspectives for worker organization in a changing techonological and social environment».

2017 Nicolas Buenos (University of Zurich, Switzerland, Insitute of Law), «From the right to work to the freedom from work».

2016 Mimi Zou, «Towards Exit and Voice: Redesigning Temporary Migrant Workers's Programmes».

2015 Uladzislau Belavusau (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Pays-Bas), «A Penalty Card for Homophobia from EU Labor Law: Comment on Asociația ACCEPT (C-81/12)».

2014 Lilach Lurie (Bar-Ilan University, Israel), «Do Unions Promote Gender Equality?».

2013 Aline Van Bever (University of Leuven, Belgium), «The Fiduciary Nature of the Employment Relationship».

2012 Diego Marcelo Ledesma Iturbide (Buenos Aires University, Argentina), «Una propuesta para la reformulación de la conceptualización tradicional de la relación de trabajo a partir del relevamiento de su especificidad jurídica».

ABBREVIATIONS LIST

(PUBLISHERS, JOURNALS, BOOKS)

AuR = Arbeit und Recht (Germany)
AJLL = Australian Journal of Labour Law (Australia)
AJP/PJA = Aktuelle juristische Praxis - Pratique juridique Actuelle (Suisse)
BCLR = Bulletin of Comparative Labour Relations (Belgium)
CLELJ = Canadian Labour & Employment Law Journal (Canada)
CLLPJ = Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal (United States)
DRL = Derecho de las Relaciones Laborales (Spain)
DLM = Diritti Lavori Mercati (Italy)
E&E = Employees & Employers: Labour Law & Social Security Review (Slovenia)
EuZA = Europäische Zeitschrift für Arbeitsrecht (Germany)
ELLJ = European Labour Law Journal (Belgium)
DLRI = Giornale di Diritto del Lavoro e delle Relazioni Industriali (Italy)
ILJ = Industrial Law Journal (UK)
IJCLLIR = Giornale di Diritto del Lavoro e delle Relazioni Industriali (Italy)
ILR = International Labour Review (ILO)
JLR = Japan Labor Review (Japan)
JCP = Juris-Classeur Périodique (France)
LD = Lavoro e Diritto (Italy)
OIT = Revue internationale de travail
PMJK = Pécsi Munkajogi Közlemények (Pecs Labour Law Journal) (Hungary)
RL = Relaciones Laborales (Spain)
RDS = Revista de Derecho Social (Spain)
RDCTSS = Revue de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale (France)
RDT = Revue de Droit du Travail (France)
RGL = Rivista Giuridica del Lavoro e della Previdenza Sociale (Italy)
TL = Temas Laborales (Spain)
ZIAS = Zeitschrift für ausländisches und Internationales Arbeits und Sozialrecht (Germany)

SUBSCRIPTIONS AND RATES

ABONNEMENTS ET TARIFS

SUSCRIPCIONES Y PRECIOS

2021 RATES

REVUE DE DROIT COMPARÉ
DU TRAVAIL ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

(PRINT) ISSN 2117-4350
(E-JOURNAL) ISSN 2262-9815

COMPTRASEC
UMR 5114

Mme Marie-Cécile Clément
UNIVERSITÉ DE BORDEAUX
Avenue Léon Duguît - 33608 PESSAC cedex FRANCE
Tél. 33(0)5 56 84 54 74
Fax 33(0)5 56 84 85 12
Email : revue.comptrasec@u-bordeaux.fr

PER YEAR

3 PRINT ISSUES PAPERS (FRENCH)
1 ELECTRONIC ISSUE (ENGLISH)

		Price/Prix/Precio
Annual Subscription	Print Journal / Revue papier / Revista Impressa (3 numéros en français / 3 issues in french / 3 números en francés)	105 €
Abonnement Annuel	E-journal / Revue électronique / Revista Electrónica (1 numéro en anglais / 1 issue in english / 1 número en inglés)	70 €
Suscripción anual	Printed copies & E-journal / Pack Revues papier et électronique / Revistas impresa y electrónica (3 numéros en français & 1 numéro en anglais / 3 issues in french & 1 in english / 3 números en francés & 1 en inglés)	145 €
Unit Price Prix à l'unité Precio unitario	Print Journal / Revue Papier / Revista Impressa	40 €
	E-Journal / Revue électronique / Revista Electrónica	70 €
	Journal article / Article / Artículo	6 €
<i>Postal charges included / Frais de port compris / Gastos de envío incluidos</i>		
VAT TVA IVA	2,10% France / 1,05% Outre-mer & Corse / 0% UE & hors UE	

MODE DE PAYMENT / MODE DE RÈGLEMENT / FORMA DE PAGO

ONLINE PAYMENT / PAIEMENT EN LIGNE / PAGO EN LINEA

(Credit card - Carte de crédit - Tarjeta de credito) <http://comptrasec.u-bordeaux.fr/revue/abonnement>

PURCHASE ORDER / BON DE COMMANDE / ORDEN DE COMPRA

to / à / a : revue.comptrasec@u-bordeaux.fr

NB : Online payment is preferred. If you have any difficulty, please contact us at
Le paiement en ligne est à privilégier. En cas de difficulté, veuillez nous contacter à
El pago en linea se prefiere. Si tiene alguna dificultad, contáctenos a

revue.comptrasec@u-bordeaux.fr

Achévé d'imprimer en janvier 2022
sur les presses de l'imprimerie Aquiprint
Dépôt légal 1^{er} trimestre 2022
Imprimé en France

REVUE

2021/4

DE DROIT COMPARÉ
DU TRAVAIL
ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

The Comparative Law Review of Labour and Social Security [Revue de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale] has been published by COMPTRASEC, UMR 5114 CNRS of the University of Bordeaux since 1981. It is edited three times a year in order to contribute to the development of analyses and exchanges on labour and social security law around the world. The Comparative Law Review of Labour and Social Security is a member of the International Association of Labour Law Journals (IALLJ), an international network for the exchange of ideas and publications on labour law and social security.

For any correspondence or contribution proposal write to:

Marie-Cécile CLÉMENT

COMPTRASEC - UMR CNRS 5114 - University of Bordeaux
16, avenue Léon Duguit - CS 50057 - 33608 PESSAC cedex - France

E-mail : marie-cécile.clement@u-bordeaux.fr

Phone: +33 (0)5 56 84 54 74 - Fax: +33 (0)5 56 84 85 12

<http://comptrasec.u-bordeaux.fr>

The opinions expressed in the articles are the sole responsibility of the authors. When translation is carried out in French, it is under the responsibility of the Director Editor and members of the Editorial Board. Every manuscript is submitted, without mentioning the name of the author, to two readers for evaluation and notice before publication.

PREVIOUS ISSUE

2021/3

THEMATIC CHAPTER

NATIONAL LABOUR LAW AND SOCIAL SECURITY SYSTEMS THROUGH THE LENS OF THE COVID HEALTH CRISIS. ADAPTATIONS OR FUNDAMENTAL CHANGES?

COORDINATED BY LOÏC LEROUGE

WITH THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF:

PETER ANDERSSON & TONIA A. NOVITZ (SWEDEN, UNITED KINGDOM), VALÉRIE FLOHIMONT (BELGIUM), ELIZABETH BLUFF & RICHARD JOHNSTONE (AUSTRALIA), GABRIELLE GOLDING (AUSTRALIA), CAROLINE MURPHY & LORRAINE RYAN (IRELAND), EDOARDO ALES (ITALY), VAGELIS KOUMARIANOS (GREECE), LUCIE LAMARCHE (CANADA), CLAIRE MARZO (UNITED KINGDOM), SILVIA FERNÁNDEZ MARTÍNEZ (SPAIN).

INTERNATIONAL LEGAL NEWS

AFRICA: ALGERIA

AMERICA: ARGENTINA / CHILE / UNITED STATES

ASIA-OCEANIA : AUSTRALIA / JAPAN

EUROPE: RUSSIAN FEDERATION / REPUBLIC OF SERBIA / UNITED KINGDOM / SWISS

FORTHCOMING

2022/1

STUDIES

INTERNATIONAL LEGAL NEWS

REVUE

DE DROIT COMPARÉ
DU TRAVAIL
ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

REVUE DE DROIT COMPARÉ DU
TRAVAIL ET DE LA SÉCURITÉ SOCIALE

4 numéros par an
~3 éditions papier (en français)
~1 édition électronique (en anglais)

2021/1

Études
Actualités Juridiques Internationales

2021/2

Jurisprudence Sociale Comparée
Jurisprudence Sociale Internationale
Actualités des organisations
internationales
Chronique bibliographique

2021/3

Dossier thématique
Actualités Juridiques Internationales

2021/4

Studies
Thematic Chapter
Comparative Labour Case Law
Comparative Labour Law Literature
International Legal News

Pour plus d'informations

<http://comptrasec.u-bordeaux.fr/revue-de-droit-compare-du-travail-et-de-la-securite-sociale>

Contact

revue.comptrasec@u-bordeaux.fr

COMPTRASEC

Centre de droit comparé du travail
et de la sécurité sociale

université
de **BORDEAUX**



70 euros

ISSN 2117-4350